

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DE ARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patan and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Boy 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/629,473	07/29/2003	Tiziano Colla	115-031453	7303
28289 7	7590 09/22/2005		EXAMINER	
THE WEBB LAW FIRM, P.C.			HARMON, CHRISTOPHER R	
700 KOPPERS 436 SEVENTI			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
PITTSBURGH		,	3721	
			DATE MAIL ED. 00/22/200	

DATE MAILED: 09/22/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/629,473	COLLA, TIZIANO				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Christopher R. Harmon	3721				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	TE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim fill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONED	l. ely filed the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status	•					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 6/24/0	05.					
,— · ·—	·					
· <u> </u>						
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-11 and 13-32</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>18-32</u> is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,<i>4-11 and 14</i></u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) <u>2,3,13 and 15-17</u> is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.	•				
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)	·					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)						
2) D Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	te					
B) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)  Other:						

Application/Control Number: 10/629,473

Art Unit: 3721

#### DETAILED ACTION

# Claim Objections

1. Claims 2-3, 13, and 15-17 are objected to under 37 CFR 1.75 as being a substantial duplicate of claims 18-19, 28, and 30-32. When two claims in an application are duplicates or else are so close in content that they both cover the same thing, despite a slight difference in wording, it is proper after allowing one claim to object to the other as being a substantial duplicate of the allowed claim. See MPEP § 706.03(k).

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
   The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 3. Claims 9 and 25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. "the first interval and the second interval" and "the first distance" lack antecedent basis (claim 9, lines 2 and 3). It is unclear if the terms "interval" and "distance" are interchangeable.

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 10/629,473

Art Unit: 3721

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 6. Claims 1 and 7-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Dauer (US 6,601,506).

Dauer discloses a method and apparatus for aligning webs comprising first and second webs; first 2 and second 6 transport rollers; optical sensors 17-19, 32-40; control device; first buffer 48, second 75, third 49, and fourth buffers 55; first 28 and second 29 idle mechanisms see figure 1. The system is controlled to register webs by using sensors and controlling individual web feeding, therefore each buffer is considered to be coupled together. The rotary angle of rollers 2 and 6 are monitored and controlled; see column 5, lines 5-15. Drive motors 41, 42 control web feeding or "a separate motor can also be assigned to all the plate cylinders…" column 4, lines 7-8.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

7. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

Application/Control Number: 10/629,473

Art Unit: 3721

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

- 8. Claims 1 and 6-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Rice (US 5,849,123). Rice discloses first 112 and second 110 rollers with upstream sensor 114 and buffer (accumulator not shown). The second sensor 108 is downstream second roller 110 not upstream however it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to rearrange the location of known working parts of the invention and place sensor 108 upstream roller 110. Regarding claim 16, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to make the sensors adjustable.
- 9. Claims 1-10 and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wild et al. (US 6,267,714) in view of Dauer (US 6,601,506).

Wild discloses a bag producing device comprising first 4 and second 6 webs; first and second transport rollers 29; optical sensors 38, 39; control device 40; first and second buffers 46; see figures 1, 4a, and 4b. The pulling rollers of Wild are not upstream however Dauer teaches pulling rollers monitored and controlled by sensing the rotary angle; buffer downstream; multiple sensors; see above. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to incorporate the teachings of Dauer in the invention to Wild in order to control the webs. The speed of rotation of the rollers 29 is monitored and controlled by control device 40.

Wild discloses idler mechanisms 24 upstream buffers 46 (located at 44); supply rollers 22 can be driven.

Application/Control Number: 10/629,473 Page 5

Art Unit: 3721

# Allowable Subject Matter

9. Claims 18-24 and 26-32 are allowed.

10. Claim 25 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

#### Response to Arguments

11. Applicant's arguments filed 6/24/05 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. The first buffer 48 is described as the web path length over a desired distance with multiple diverters which can be used for correcting web elongation; see column 4, lines 40-47 and column 5, lines 19-37.

#### Conclusion

12. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

Art Unit: 3721

extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

14. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Christopher R. Harmon whose telephone number is (571) 272-4461. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 9-6.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Rinaldi Rada can be reached on (571) 272-4467. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

ch